Bethany Stevens (SBN 245672)					
bstevens@wscllp.com Amanda Walker (SBN 252380)					
awalker@wscllp.com WALKER STEVENS CANNOM LLI	P				
500 Molino Street, Suite 118 Los Angeles, California 90013	_				
Telephone: (213) 712-9145 Fax: (213) 403-4906					
Michael Ng (SBN 237915)					
michael.ng@kobrekim.com Daniel Zaheer (SBN 237118)					
daniel.zaheer@kobrekim.com					
KOBRE & KIM LLP 150 California Street, 19th Floor					
San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 582-4800					
Christopher Cogburn*					
*pro hac vice to be filed christopher.cogburn@kobrekim.com					
KOBRE & KIM LLP					
800 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022					
Telephone: (212) 488-1200					
Attorneys for Petitioner Shanghai Lan Cai Asset					
Management Co, Ltd.					
UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT				
CENTRAL DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA				
WESTE	ERN DIVISION				
SHANGHAI LAN CAI ASSET	Civil Case No. 2:18-cv-10255				
MANAGEMENT CO, LTD.,					
Dotitionan	PETITION TO CONFIRM ARBITRATION AWARD				
Petitioner,	ARDITRATION AWARD				
v.					
JIA YUETING,					
Respondent.					

PETITION TO CONFIRM ARBITRATION AWARD

Petitioner Shanghai Lan Cai Asset Management Co, Ltd. ("SLC") hereby petitions this Court for an order and judgment confirming a final, binding arbitration award (the "Final Award") issued in Beijing, China on January 22, 2018, against Respondent Jia Yueting and two companies in which Jia had a substantial ownership interest: LeTV Sports Culture Develop (Beijing) Co., Limited ("LeTV") and TV Plus Holdings (Beijing) Limited ("TV Plus" and, together with Jia and LeTV, the "Arbitration Respondents"). This Petition is supported by an accompanying Memorandum and a Declaration of Jian Kang dated December 10, 2018 ("First Kang Declaration"). In support of this Petition, SLC respectfully states as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. SLC brings this proceeding under Section 207 of the Federal Arbitration Act ("FAA"), 9 U.S.C. § 207, and Article III of the 1958 Convention for the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (the "New York Convention"), to confirm the Final Award. The tribunal of three arbitrators (the "Tribunal") that issued the Final Award was duly constituted under the 2015 Arbitration Rules of the Beijing Arbitration Commission.
- 2. The Final Award concluded Beijing Arbitration Commission case number (2018) Jing Zhong Cai Zi No. 0146 (the "Arbitration"), which resolved a dispute between SLC and Jia, LeTV and TV Plus.
- 3. SLC respectfully requests that this Court confirm the Final Award and incorporate its terms into a judgment in favor of SLC. SLC also seeks to recover the fees and expenses incurred in confirming the Final Award, along with such further relief as this Court may find just and proper.

PARTIES

4. Petitioner Shanghai Lan Cai Asset Management Co, Ltd. is a corporation organized under the laws of the People's Republic of China, with its

principal place of business in Shanghai, People's Republic of China. (First Kang Decl. ¶ 4.)

5. Respondent Jia Yueting is a Chinese citizen who lives in California. His permanent address is 7 Marguerite Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, California. (*Id.*)

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This proceeding arises under Article III of the New York Convention. Chapter 2 of the Federal Arbitration Act, codified at 9 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*, applies the New York Convention to award-recognition actions brought in the courts of the United States.
- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding under 9 U.S.C. § 203, which provides that "[t]he district courts of the United States . . . have original jurisdiction over" any "action or proceeding falling under the [New York] Convention." This proceeding "fall[s] under the Convention" because it arises out of a commercial contract between SLC and Jia, neither of whom are citizens of the United States. See 9 U.S.C. § 202 (providing that an "arbitral award arising out of a legal relationship . . . which is considered as commercial . . . falls under the Convention" unless the relationship is "entirely between citizens of the United States"). In addition, the Final Award was made in the People's Republic of China, which is a party to the New York Convention.
- 8. 28 U.S.C. § 1331 also gives the Court subject-matter jurisdiction over this proceeding, because it is a "civil action[] arising under the . . . laws, or treaties of the United States."
- 9. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Jia because he is a resident of California.
- 10. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because the sole Respondent, Jia, resides within this District.

BACKGROUND

1

2

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 11. The Final Award resolved a dispute between SLC and the Arbitration Respondents that arose under two related agreements. The first of those agreements (the "Loan Contract") set forth the terms under which SLC—acting as an agent of investor-users of Lancai.cn, an internet-based financing platform extended a ¥50 million loan to LeTV. (First Kang Decl. Ex. 1 at 2; see generally First Kang Decl. Ex. 2.) Under the second agreement (the "Guarantee"), Jia and TV Plus guaranteed the loan to LeTV, assuming joint and several liability for any failure by LeTV to perform its obligations under the Loan Contract. (First Kang Decl. Ex. 1 at 2; see generally First Kang Decl. Ex. 3.)
- 12. The Loan Contract provided for a term of 12 months, running from the date on which SLC disbursed the loan funds to LeTV. (First Kang Decl. Ex. 2 art. 2(I).) LeTV was to repay the \forall 50 million principal in a single lump-sum payment at the conclusion of the 12-month term, while settling outstanding interest on each of five dates (the "Interest Settlement Dates") over the course of the term. (Id. art. 3(II), (IV).) The Loan Contract provided for an annual interest rate of 7.5 percent. (Id. art. 3(III).) In the event of a default by LeTV, an additional "0.05 percent per day" would accrue under the Loan Contract until the default was cured. (*Id.* art. 3(VII).)
- 13. The parties executed the Loan Contract and the Guarantee on December 1, 2016. SLC disbursed the loan funds to LeTV's nominated recipient on December 2, 2016. Under the terms governing the Interest Settlement Dates, LeTV was required to pay all interest outstanding on the loan as of December 15, 2016, March 15, 2017, June 15, 2017, and September 15, 2017. (See First Kang Decl. Ex. 2 art. 3(IV).) The principal, together with any remaining interest, was due by December 2, 2017. (See id. art. 3(II).)
- LeTV made the interest payments required on the first two Interest 14. Settlement Dates. To this day—more than one year after the date on which LeTV

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27

28

was required to repay the loan principal and all remaining interest—neither LeTV nor its guarantors, Jia and TV Plus, have made any additional payments to SLC.

THE ARBITRATION AND THE FINAL AWARD

- 15. Article 13 of the Loan Contract provided for arbitration in the event of a dispute between the parties:
 - (I) The Contract shall be governed by the laws of the People's Republic of China.
 - (II) Any dispute arising from the performance of the [Loan]

 Contract may be resolved through consultation, failing which either Party may bring such dispute for arbitration by the Beijing Arbitration Commission.

(First Kang Decl. Ex. 2 art. 13.)

16. Article 11 of the Guarantee contained a nearly identical arbitration provision:

Any dispute arising from or in connection with this [Guarantee] shall be settled through negotiation by the parties hereto, failing which, shall be submitted to Beijing Arbitration Commission for arbitration in accordance with the prevailing rules of arbitration of such commission. The arbitration award shall be final, binding upon each party.

(First Kang Decl. Ex. 3 art. 11.3.)

- 17. When LeTV failed to make the payment due on June 15, 2017, SLC referred the matter to arbitration before the Beijing Arbitration Commission, consistent with the arbitration clauses in both the Loan Contract and the Guarantee. On June 28, 2017, the Beijing Arbitration Commission accepted SLC's request for arbitration against Jia and the other two Arbitration Respondents.
- 18. The Tribunal was duly appointed by the Director of the Beijing Arbitration Commission in accordance with the Commission's rules. (First Kang

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Decl. Ex. 1 at 1.) Mr. Wu Shengchun, a senior economist specializing in guaranty law, loan contracts, and international finance, was appointed as chief arbitrator. (First Kang Decl. ¶ 8.) He was joined on the Tribunal by Ms. Kang Le, an arbitrator specializing in investment and financing disputes, and Mr. Han Xu, who specializes in finance, loan contracts, and the law of corporations. (Id. \P 8.) The Arbitration was conducted in Beijing under the Beijing Arbitration Commission rules. (First Kang Decl. Ex. 1 at 1.)
- The Tribunal scheduled its first hearing for October 27, 2017. (Id.) 19. Despite receiving the Commission's written notice advising him of the hearing, Jia (along with the other two Arbitration Respondents) failed to appear. (Id.) But despite his absence, Jia was able to present his case to the Tribunal: the Tribunal set a second hearing for December 1, 2017, at which Jia appeared and was represented by counsel. Jia's counsel produced evidence and made oral arguments on his behalf. (Id.)
- On January 22, 2018, after hearing all parties' oral presentations, 20. examining the evidence, and engaging in panel discussions, the Tribunal issued the 16-page Final Award. (*Id.* at 1–2.) The Tribunal unanimously found that LeTV defaulted on its obligations under the Loan Contract by failing to repay both the principal and any interest accrued after March 15, 2017. (Id. at 15-16.) The Tribunal also found LeTV liable for post-default interest at an annual rate of 16.5 percent—less than the post-default rate provided by the Loan Contract—to be calculated from December 2, 2017, and for SLC's and the Tribunal's costs and expenses, calculated at $\pm 1,446,575.34$ and $\pm 319,740$, respectively. (*Id.* at 16–18.)
- 21. In addition, the Tribunal concluded that the Guarantee rendered Jia and TV Plus jointly and severally liable for the amounts awarded against LeTV. (Id. at 19–20.) The Tribunal summarized the Guarantee's legal effect: "When [LeTV] has not performed or has not fully performed [its] debts under the Loan Contract, [SLC] has the right to directly request [TV Plus] and [Jia] to assume the

liability of providing guarantee." (Id. at 19.) The Tribunal further explained that
the Guarantee covered not only liabilities for LeTV's "failure to pay the
principal, interest, penalty interest as well as other amounts on time and in
full in accordance with the Loan Contract," but also extended to "all the expenses
paid by [SLC] for realization of [its] rights and interests, including but not limited
to legal fees, litigation fees, travel expenses, etc." (Id.)
22. In reaching this conclusion, the Tribunal rejected two arguments Jia
raised in an attempt to avoid liability under the Guarantee. First, contrary to Jia's
1

- 22. In reaching this conclusion, the Tribunal rejected two arguments Jia raised in an attempt to avoid liability under the Guarantee. First, contrary to Jia's argument that conditions precedent to his obligation under the Guarantee remained unsatisfied, the Tribunal concluded that "once the Loan Contract expires and [LeTV] has failed to perform . . . the conditions for [TV Plus] and [Jia] to be held liable for providing guarantee have been met." (*Id.* at 20.) Jia also contended that the Guarantee provisions imposing joint and several liability upon him personally were invalid under Chinese law. (*Id.*) The Tribunal dismissed this argument as "without factual and legal basis." (*Id.*)
- 23. The Tribunal therefore ordered Jia and the other Arbitration Respondents to compensate SLC for:
 - a. ¥50 million, representing the principal disbursed to LeTV under the Loan Contract;
 - b. principal interest at an annual rate of 7.5 percent to be calculated from March 15, 2017;
 - c. post-default interest at an annual rate of 16.5 percent to be calculated from December 2, 2017;
 - d. ¥507,028, representing SLC's arbitration costs; and
 - e. ¥319,740, representing arbitration expenses disbursed by SLC to the Beijing Arbitration Commission.

(Id. at 21–22.)

- 24. The Tribunal ordered the Arbitration Respondents to pay these amounts "in full within 10 days from the date of service of this Award." Otherwise, the Arbitration Respondents must pay 200% of the payable interest (i.e., 48 percent per annum) according to the Civil Procedure Law of the People's Republic of China. (*Id.* at 22.) To date, neither Jia nor either of the other Arbitration Respondents has paid SLC any part of what it is owed under the Final Award. (First Kang Decl. ¶ 10.)
- 25. As of December 10, 2018, interest has accrued on the Final Award in the amount of \$3,650,246.89 and interest continues to accrue at a rate of \$9,557.18 per day. (First Kang Decl. ¶ 10.)
- 26. Jia has not applied to set aside the Final Award in the People's Republic of China, and his time for doing so expired several months ago. (First Kang Decl. ¶ 11.)

BASIS FOR CONFIRMATION OF THE FINAL AWARD

- 27. The Final Award is a well-reasoned award, issued by respected jurists in a proceeding in which all parties bound by the Final Award actively participated. There is no reason why the Final Award should not be confirmed.
- 28. Under the New York Convention, as incorporated into United States law through the Federal Arbitration Act, an arbitral award must be confirmed unless one of a limited number of grounds for refusal or deferral applies: "Within three years after an arbitral award falling under the Convention is made, any party to the arbitration may apply to any court having jurisdiction under this chapter for an order confirming the award as against any other party to the arbitration. The court shall confirm the award unless it finds one of the grounds for refusal or deferral of recognition or enforcement of the award specified in the said Convention." 9 U.S.C. § 207.
- 29. The party opposing confirmation has the burden of showing that such a ground applies. *See Polimaster Ltd. v. RAE Systems, Inc.*, 623 F.3d 832, 836 (9th

28

1

2

Cir. 2010) ("As the party seeking to avoid enforcement of the award, [the respondent] has the burden of showing the existence of a New York Convention defense. [That] burden is substantial because the public policy in favor of international arbitration is strong, and the New York Convention defenses are interpreted narrowly." (internal citations omitted)). Jia cannot meet that burden here.

- 30. The grounds on which a court can refuse or defer confirmation are:
 - the lack of a valid arbitration agreement between the parties;
 - that the award resolves a dispute outside the scope of the parties' arbitration agreement;
 - that the award resolves a dispute that, under the laws of the country where confirmation is sought, cannot be resolved through arbitration;
 - that the award debtor had no notice of the arbitration proceedings or was unable to meaningfully participate;
 - that the tribunal was composed and/or the arbitration used procedures inconsistent with the parties' arbitration agreement;
 - that the award is not yet binding or has been set aside by a
 competent authority of the country in which, or under the law
 of which, the award was made; or
 - that confirming the award would contravene the public policy of the country where confirmation is sought.
- See New York Convention art. V.
- 31. As explained further in the accompanying Memorandum, none of these grounds applies here. The plain language of Article 13 of the Loan Contract and Article 11 of the Guarantee makes clear that SLC, Jia, and the other Arbitration Respondents agreed that certain disputes could be resolved by arbitration. (First Kang Decl. Ex. 2 art. 13(II); Ex. 3 art. 11.3.) The Arbitration

1	concerned precisely such a dispute, one "arising from the performance of the"
2	Loan Contract—and, by extension, "arising from or in connection with" the
3	Guarantee, which made Jia liable for LeTV's failure to perform under the Loan
4	Contract. (Id.) Nothing in the laws of the United States prohibits resolving this
5	type of dispute through arbitration; to the contrary, the United States has long
6	favored arbitration for the resolution of international commercial disputes. See
7	Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc., 473 U.S. 614, 631
8	(1985) (endorsing "emphatic federal policy in favor of arbitral dispute resolution,"
9	which "applies with special force in the field of international commerce"). Jia had
10	notice of the Arbitration and actively participated in it, presenting his case, with the
11	assistance of counsel, through evidence and argument submitted to the Tribunal.
12	The Tribunal was composed by and followed the procedures of the Beijing
13	Arbitration Commission, as contemplated by the parties' agreements to arbitrate.
14	The Final Award is binding on the parties and has not been set aside in the
15	People's Republic of China—the seat of the arbitration—or, as far as SLC is
16	aware, in any other jurisdiction. Finally, confirming the Final Award would offend
17	no public policy of the United States.

32. Accordingly, the New York Convention requires confirmation of the Final Award.

COUNT I

(CONFIRMATION OF FINAL AWARD UNDER 9 U.S.C. § 207)

33. SLC incorporates each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 34. The United States is a contracting party to the New York Convention, as is the People's Republic of China.
- 35. The Final Award is governed by the New York Convention (made applicable in this proceeding by Chapter 2 of the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.) because the Final Award arises out of a commercial contract between

5

6 7

8

10 11

13

12

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

28

27

SLC and Jia, neither of whom are citizens of the United States. See 9 U.S.C. § 202.

- Article IV of the New York Convention provides that a party applying 36. for confirmation of an award "shall, at the time of the application, supply: (a) [t]he duly authenticated original award or a duly certified copy thereof; [and] (b) [t]he original agreement [to arbitrate] or a duly certified copy thereof." A duly authenticated copy of the Final Award is attached as Exhibit 1 to the First Kang Declaration, and a duly authenticated copy of the Loan Contract (which sets forth the parties' agreement to arbitrate in Article 13) is attached as Exhibit 2 to the First Kang Declaration.
- 37. The Final Award arose out of a legal relationship that is commercial within the meaning of 9 U.S.C. § 202.
- 38. Under the Guarantee, the Final Award is "final [and] binding upon each party." (First Kang Decl. Ex. 3 art. 11.3.) Article 50 of the Beijing Arbitration Commission Rules likewise provides that the Final Award is "legally binding from the date on which it [was] made," and Jia was required to "perform the award in accordance with the time limit for performance specified in the award"; in this case, "within 10 days from the date of service of [the] Award." (First Kang Decl. Ex. 1 at 21.)
- 39. Neither Jia nor the other Arbitration Respondents has paid SLC the amounts it is owed under the Final Award. Article 50 of the Beijing Arbitration Commission Rules authorizes judicial relief under these circumstances: "Where any party fails to perform the award, the other party may apply to the competent court for enforcement."
- 9 U.S.C. § 207 provides that, in an action to confirm an award 40. governed by the New York Convention, the "court shall confirm the award unless it finds one of the grounds for refusal or deferral of recognition or enforcement of the award specified in the said Convention." 9 U.S.C. § 207 (emphasis added).

Convention's limited, enumerated grounds for refusing or deferring recognition

applies. Ministry of Def. of Islamic Republic of Iran v. Gould, Inc., 969 F.2d 764, 770 (9th Cir. 1992). That burden is a heavy one, for judicial review of an arbitration award under the New York Convention "is quite circumscribed"; "the district court has little discretion" in view of Congress's command that "'[t]he court shall confirm the award unless it finds one of the grounds for refusal or deferral of recognition or enforcement of the award specified in the [New York]

- As explained in the accompanying Memorandum, none of the New 41. York Convention's enumerated grounds for refusing or deferring recognition apply to the Final Award.
- Under 9 U.S.C. § 207 and Article III of the New York Convention, 42. SLC is entitled to an order confirming the Final Award. Petitioners respectfully request that the Court confirm the Final Award by entering judgment in favor of SLC and against Jia in the amount of the Final Award, with interest as provided therein, plus the costs of this proceeding.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

20 21

WHEREFORE, Petitioner Shanghai Lan Cai Asset Management Co, Ltd. respectfully requests:

22 23

an order of this Court, under 9 U.S.C. § 207 and Article III of a. the New York Convention, confirming the Final Award and entering judgment thereon;

25 26

24

a judgment in favor of SLC and against Jia that conforms to the b. Final Award, including (1) ¥50 million plus interest at an annual rate of 7.5 percent to be calculated from March 15, 2017, combined with post-default interest at an annual rate of

28

1		16.3 percent to be	e calculated from December 2, 2017, and
2		doubled (for a tot	tal annual rate of 48 percent), under the Civil
3		Procedure Law o	f the People's Republic of China, from
4		December 12, 20	17; (2) ¥507,028 for costs incurred by SLC
5		during the Arbitra	ation; and (3) ¥319,740 for arbitration
6		expenses disburse	ed by SLC to the Beijing Arbitration
7		Commission;	
8	c.	an award of post-	-judgment compound interest under 28 U.S.C.
9		§ 1961;	
10	d.	an award of costs	s incurred by SLC in bringing this proceeding,
11		including reasona	able attorneys' fees;
12	e.	an order of this C	Court retaining jurisdiction over the matter for
13		any further proce	eedings as may be necessary to enforce the
14		Final Award and	any further awards or judgments which may
15		be obtained by Sl	LC against Jia; and
16	f.	any other relief th	hat this Court, in the interests of justice, deems
17		necessary and pro	oper.
18			
19	Dated: December	10, 2018	WALKER STEVENS CANNOM LLP
20			
21			By: <u>Bethany Stevens</u> Bethany Stevens
22			•
23			Attorneys for Petitioner Shanghai Lan Cai Asset
24			Management Co, Ltd.
25			
26			
27			
28			
			12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself) DEFENDANTS (Check box if you are representing yourself)							
Shanghai Lan Cai Asset Mana	agement Co, Ltd.		Jia Yueting	Jia Yueting			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plain	tiff Out of State	County of Reside	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Los Angeles			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	ES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES ONLY)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name representing yourself, pro Walker Stevens Cannom LLP 500 Molino Street #118 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Tel: 213.712.9145	vide the same informa	-		Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.			
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION (Place an X in o	ne box only.)	II. CITIZENSHIP OF PR	INCIPAL PARTIES-For D	Diversity Cases Only		
1. U.S. Government Plaintiff 2. U.S. Government	3. Federal Qu Government	Not a Party)		of Business in A	r Principal Place PTF DEF 4		
Defendant	of Parties in I		Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	6 6		
	emoved from 3. Re		nstated or 5. Transferre	ed from Another 6. Multi Epecify) 6. Multi Trans	ition - Litigation -		
V. REQUESTED IN COM	APLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: \square Yes $\overline{\times}$	No (Check "Yes" o	nly if demanded in com	plaint.)		
CLASS ACTION under	F.R.Cv.P. 23:	∕es ⊠ No	MONEY DEMA	NDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$		
9 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. Petition	n to Confirm Arbitration A	ward	ng and write a brief statemer	nt of cause. Do not cite jurisdi	ctional statutes unless diversity.)		
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one bo	x only).					
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT	. IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS		
375 False Claims Act	110 Insurance	240 Torts to Land	462 Naturalization Application	Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights		
376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	120 Marine 130 Miller Act	245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real	465 Other Immigration Actions	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	830 Patent - Abbreviated		
400 State Reapportionment	140 Negotiable	Property TORTS	TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY	530 General 535 Death Penalty	☐ New Drug Application 840 Trademark		
410 Antitrust	150 Recovery of	PERSONAL INJURY	370 Other Fraud	Other:	SOCIAL SECURITY		
430 Banks and Banking	Overpayment & Enforcement of	310 Airplane 315 Airplane	371 Truth in Lending	540 Mandamus/Other	SOCIAL SECURITY		
450 Commerce/ICC Rates/Etc.	Judgment	Product Liability		_	861 HIA (1395ff)		
460 Deportation		·	380 Other Personal	550 Civil Rights	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)		
470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Org.	151 Medicare Act	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	☐ Property Damage	555 Prison Condition	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))		
— enced & Corrupt Org.	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers'	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) 864 SSID Title XVI		
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405 (g))		
	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405 (g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS		
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Com-	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405 (g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)		
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405 (g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or		
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR	■ 861 HIA (1395ff) ■ 862 Black Lung (923) ■ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) ■ 864 SSID Title XVI ■ 865 RSI (405 (g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609		
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	■ 861 HIA (1395ff) ■ 862 Black Lung (923) ■ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) ■ 864 SSID Title XVI ■ 865 RSI (405 (g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609		
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract Product Liability	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Med Malpratice	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt.	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405 (g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609		
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury-	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	■ 861 HIA (1395ff) ■ 862 Black Lung (923) ■ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) ■ 864 SSID Title XVI ■ 865 RSI (405 (g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609		
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Info.	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury-Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury-Product Liability 367 Health Care/	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical	■ 861 HIA (1395ff) ■ 862 Black Lung (923) ■ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) ■ 864 SSID Title XVI ■ 865 RSI (405 (g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609		
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Info. Act	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury-Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 American with	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	■ 861 HIA (1395ff) ■ 862 Black Lung (923) ■ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) ■ 864 SSID Title XVI ■ 865 RSI (405 (g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609		

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

from state court? Yes No	STATE CASE WAS PENDING	INITIAL DIV	INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:				
	Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara,	\	Western				
If "no, " skip to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the	Orange	S	outhern				
corresponding division in response to Question E, below, and continue from there. Riverside or San Bernardino					Eastern		
QUESTION B: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a PLAINTIFF in this action?	ts agencies or employees, a the district reside in Orange Co.?		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Division Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.				
☐ Yes ⊠ No			☐ NO. Contir	ue to Question B.2.			
If "no, " skip to Question C. If "yes," answer Question B.1, at right.	B.2. Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.)		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.				
	check one of the boxes to the right	→		ase will initially be assigne tern" in response to Questi			
QUESTION C: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a DEFENDANT in this action?	C.1. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who district reside in Orange Co.? check one of the boxes to the right	o reside in the		hern" in response to Quest	d to the Southern Division tion E, below, and continue		
☐ Yes 区 No	check one of the boxes to the right		NO. Continue to Question C.2.				
If "no, " skip to Question D. If "yes," answer Question C.1, at right.	C.2. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.)		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.				
	check one of the boxes to the right		NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.				
QUESTION D: Location of plaintiff	fs and defendants?	Orar	A. nge County	B. Riverside or San Bernardino County	C. Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo County		
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave	more of <i>plaintiffs who reside in this dist</i> blank if none of these choices apply.)	trict					
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or district reside. (Check up to two boxes, capply.)	more of <i>defendants who reside in this</i> or leave blank if none of these choices				\boxtimes		
D.1. Is there at least one	answer in Column A?		D.2. Is there a	t least one answer in C	Column B?		
☐ Yes	⊠ No			\square Yes \boxtimes No			
If "yes," your case will initia	, ,	If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the					
SOUTHERN I	EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below.						
Enter "Southern" in response to Questio							
If "no," go to questio	If "no," your case will be assigned to the WESTERN DIVISION. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below.						
QUESTION E: Initial Division?		INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD					
Enter the initial division determined by	Question A, B, C, or D above:			WESTERN			
QUESTION F: Northern Counties?							
Do 50% or more of plaintiffs or defenda	nts in this district reside in Ventura, Sa	inta Barbara,	or San Luis Obis	po counties?	Yes 🔀 No		

CV-71 (05/17) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court?		× NO	YES
If yes, list case number(s):			
(b). RELATED CASES: Is this case related (as defined below) to any civil or criminal case(s) previously filed in	n this co	ourt?	☐ YES
If yes, list case number(s):			
Civil cases are related when they (check all that apply):			
A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;			
B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact	; or		
C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.			
Note: That cases may involve the same patent, trademark, or copyright is not, in itself, sufficient to deem	cases re	elated.	
A civil forfeiture case and a criminal case are related when they (check all that apply):			
A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;			
B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact	; or		
C. Involve one or more defendants from the criminal case in common and would entail substan labor if heard by different judges.	ntial dup	olication of	
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY R SELF-REPRESENTED LITIGANT): /s/ Bethany Stevens	DATE:	12/10/18	
Stice to Counsel/Parties: The submission of this Civil Cover Sheet is required by Local Rule 3-1. This Form CV-ither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as ore detailed instructions, see separate instruction sheet (CV-071A).			

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code 861	Abbreviation HIA	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

CV-71 (05/17) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 3 of 3